

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,730	10/22/2003	BRUCE W. BOYLE	19.0351	2729
23718 7590 10/18/2005			EXAMINER	
SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD SERVICES 200 GILLINGHAM LANE			NEUDER, WILLIAM P	
MD 200-9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUGAR LAN	D, TX 77478		3672	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/605,730	BOYLE ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		William P. Neuder	3672
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. leriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	,		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
		This action is non-final.	
	Since this application is in condition for all		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposit	on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
	Claim(s) <u>11-51 and 56-58</u> is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1,52,59 and 60</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) 2-10 and 53-55 is/are objected to).	
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a		
Applicati	on Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	minor	
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Evaminer
10/	Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		` '
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eigh phonty under 50 0.0.0.	9 113(a)-(a) or (i).
٠,١	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur		Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		···
	application from the International Bu	•	. received in this National Stage
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received
		and the defining copies not	
Attachmen	t(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	· —	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
inton الصارد Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/16/05.1/13/05</u> , 1/5/0 ⁵⁷ , 1/3/0 ⁵³	6) Other:	
S. Patent and T	ademark Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20051011

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 59 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 59, lines 11 and 12 state that upon detection of a fault, a cable is disposed within the drill string. It does not appear that the cable is disposed after detecting the fault, but rather is always present. Clarification is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 19 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 19, line 8; "third coupler the engaged" should be –third coupler of the engaged--. In claim 23, line 6, "of adapter subs" should be –of the adapter subs--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schlumberger (WO 00/29717).

Schlumberger discloses a cable communication link for a drill string. Two adapter subs are spaced apart within the drill string by a length that exceeds the length of three interconnected drill pipe joints. A cable connects the two subs for communication of a signal there between (see figs. 1-3 and page 3, lines 3-15). As to claim 52, Schlumberger discloses a method of drilling using the above equipment.

Claims 1 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wittrisch 5,353,872.

Wittrisch discloses a cable communication link for a drill string. Two adapter subs are spaced apart within the drill string by a length that exceeds the length of three interconnected drill pipe joints. A cable connects the two subs for communication of a signal there between (see fig. 5 and col. 4, lines 35-49). As to claim 52, Wittrisch discloses a method of drilling using the above equipment.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-51 and 56-58 are allowed.

Claims 2-10 and 53-55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 59 and 60 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Neuder whose telephone number is 571-272-7032. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William P Neuder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

mono

W.P.N.